

**From:** [Chen, Lily \(Fed\)](#)  
**To:** [Moody, Dustin \(Fed\)](#)  
**Subject:** Re: Crypto Rump session  
**Date:** Monday, August 19, 2019 5:23:44 PM

---

Good decision. Lily

---

On: 19 August 2019 14:15,  
"Moody, Dustin (Fed)" <[dustin.moody@nist.gov](mailto:dustin.moody@nist.gov)> wrote:

Lily,

I talked with Yi-Kai. He's fine to just let it go (meaning no additional talk).

Dustin

---

**From:** Chen, Lily (Fed) <[lily.chen@nist.gov](mailto:lily.chen@nist.gov)>  
**Sent:** Sunday, August 18, 2019 7:01 AM  
**To:** Moody, Dustin (Fed) <[dustin.moody@nist.gov](mailto:dustin.moody@nist.gov)>  
**Subject:** Re: Crypto Rump session

Hi, Dustin,

The 1st and the 3rd slides are covered by the updates we give in the set John will present. I am not sure how much messages we can convey in the 2nd slide. I think that we do not have to get all feedback at the conference. People who really want to voice will tell us through other way, not only respond our surveys. The survey is a general message to the community what we want, right?

I agree with you that we may not need another rump session talk besides the updates.

Lily

---

On: 17 August 2019 22:21,  
"Moody, Dustin (Fed)" <[dustin.moody@nist.gov](mailto:dustin.moody@nist.gov)> wrote:

Lily,

See the slides Yi-Kai made. I'm not sure about doing a separate rump session talk just for his. What do you think?

Dustin

---

From: Liu, Yi-Kai (Fed) <yi-kai.liu@nist.gov>  
Sent: Saturday, August 17, 2019 9:10 PM  
To: Moody, Dustin (Fed)  
Subject: Re: Crypto Rump session

And here is the Powerpoint file. I don't know why it didn't attach to my last email.

--Yi-Kai

---

From: Liu, Yi-Kai (Fed) <yi-kai.liu@nist.gov>  
Sent: Saturday, August 17, 2019 9:08 PM  
To: Moody, Dustin (Fed)  
Subject: Re: Crypto Rump session

Sounds good, here are a few slides. Please edit as you see fit. Thanks!

--Yi-Kai

---

From: Moody, Dustin (Fed) <dustin.moody@nist.gov>  
Sent: Thursday, August 15, 2019 3:16 PM  
To: Liu, Yi-Kai (Fed)  
Subject: Re: Crypto Rump session

It couldn't hurt to make some slides. I bet we could get somebody to do it. (Me or somebody else)

---

From: Liu, Yi-Kai (Fed) <yi-kai.liu@nist.gov>  
Sent: Thursday, August 15, 2019 3:06 PM  
To: Moody, Dustin (Fed) <dustin.moody@nist.gov>  
Subject: Re: Crypto Rump session

Hmm, I could make a few slides, maybe 5 minutes' worth, if you or someone else want to present them? Let me know...

--Yi-Kai

---

From: Moody, Dustin (Fed) <dustin.moody@nist.gov>  
Sent: Thursday, August 15, 2019 8:23 AM  
To: Liu, Yi-Kai (Fed)  
Subject: RE: Crypto Rump session

He was thinking a whole different short talk, rather than include it with the NIST update.

-----Original Message-----

From: Liu, Yi-Kai (Fed) <yi-kai.liu@nist.gov>  
Sent: Wednesday, August 14, 2019 9:20 PM  
To: Moody, Dustin (Fed) <dustin.moody@nist.gov>  
Subject: Re: Crypto Rump session

Hi Dustin,

Sure, that's a good idea! I won't be at Crypto, though... do you think I should try to make a slide that John can include in his presentation at the rump session?

Thanks!

--Yi-Kai

---

From: Moody, Dustin (Fed) <dustin.moody@nist.gov>  
Sent: Wednesday, August 14, 2019 2:03 PM  
To: Liu, Yi-Kai (Fed)  
Subject: Crypto Rump session

Yi-Kai,

John will give a NIST update during the Crypto rump session. He suggested it might make sense to do a short rump session talk with the questions you wanted people to think about for our closing session. What do you think?

Dustin